



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/588,463

08/23/2006

Stefan Vogelin

07-2114-C

5486

20306

7590

09/22/2011

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP
300 S. WACKER DRIVE
32ND FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

BOSWORTH, KAMI A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3767

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/22/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/588,463	Applicant(s) VOGELIN ET AL.	
	Examiner KAMI A. BOSWORTH	Art Unit 3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KAMI A. BOSWORTH. (3) ____.

(2) NICOLE REIFMAN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 25 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The amendments filed 6/8/2011 appear to put the case in condition for allowance, but the Examiner noted two issues that she proposed addressing via an Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner proposed (1) cancelling claim 25 as a result of the present amendment to independent claim 18 and (2) adding a comma after the term "diaphragm" in claim 33. The Attorney agreed to these amendments on 9/15/2011. The Examiner and Attorney also agreed upon an amendment to the Specification that would replace reference to specific claim numbers with reference to "the claims" in general and the inclusion of a reference to the priority documents in the first line of the Specification. This agreement was made on 9/16/2011.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/K. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/KEVIN C. SIRMONS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767
---------------------------------------	--